# ON THE CONJUGACY OF INJECTORS IN LOCALLY FINITE GROUPS

#### OLIVIER FRÉCON

ABSTRACT. We characterize the largest subgroup-closed classes of locally finite groups where injectors behave as in finite groups. The present paper is the second part of [1].

## 1. Results

The notations are the ones of [1], we add just the following definition.

**Definition 1.1.** Let G be a locally finite group. If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a set of subgroups of G, a subgroup V of G is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injector of G if, for every subnormal subgroup A of G,  $V \cap A$  is a maximal  $\mathfrak{X}$ -subgroup of A.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let G be a locally finite group, and  $\mathfrak{X}$  a normal Fitting set of G such that

- any X-group is radical;
- for any normal  $\mathfrak{X}$ -subgroup K of a subgroup H of G, if H/K is abelian, then  $H \in \mathfrak{X}$ ;

Moreover, we assume that any subgroup H of G satisfies one of the following conditions:

- *H* has exactly one conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injectors and its  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injectors are pronormal in *H*;
- *H* has exactly one conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -a-injectors and its  $\mathfrak{X}$ -a-injectors are pronormal in *H*;
- *H* has exactly one conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -injectors.

Then G is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group.

*Proof.* We prove the result in the case of " $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injectors", but the same proof works in the other cases, except the last paragraph in the case of " $\mathfrak{X}$ -injectors".

We show that G is locally soluble. Let H be a finite subgroup of minimal order for the condition that H is not soluble. Then every proper normal subgroup of H is soluble, and the subgroup N generated by them is soluble too. Moreover, the  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injectors of H are the maximal  $\mathfrak{X}$ -subgroups of H containing N. Let U be an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injector of H, p a prime, and P/N a Sylow p-subgroup of H/N. Then P is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -subgroup, so P is contained in a maximal  $\mathfrak{X}$ -subgroup of H, which is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injector. By the conjugacy of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injectors of H, U/N contains a Sylow p-subgroup of H/N for every prime p. Hence we have H = U and H is soluble, so G is locally soluble.

Date: November 11, 2013.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F17; Secondary 20D10.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  locally finite groups, Fitting sets, Injectors.

#### OLIVIER FRÉCON

We show that each  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injector V of G covers all the chief factors H/K of G. Since  $V \cap K$  is a maximal  $\mathfrak{X}$ -subgroup of K, it is self-normalizing in K. Since  $V \cap K$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injector of K, a Frattini Argument applied with  $V \cap K$  gives  $H = KN_H(V \cap K)$ . Since G is locally soluble, the quotient  $H/K \simeq N_H(V \cap K)/(V \cap K)$  is abelian, and  $N_H(V \cap K)$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group. But  $V \cap H$  is a maximal  $\mathfrak{X}$ -subgroup of H, so  $V \cap H = N_H(V \cap K)$ . Hence V covers H/K.

We assume toward a contradiction that G is not an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group. Let V be an  $\mathfrak{X}$ sn-injector of G, and let  $L = G_{\mathfrak{X}n}$ . Then we have  $L \leq V < G$ . Moreover, for each  $g \in N_G(V)$ , the subgroup  $V\langle g \rangle$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group, so  $N_G(V) = V$  by the maximality of V, and we obtain L < V. Let p be a prime such that  $\rho(V/L)$  has a nontrivial Sylow p-subgroup P/L. Let C/L be the intersection of the centralizers of all p'chief factors of G/L. If (H/L)/(K/L) is a p'-chief factor of G/L, then V covers H/K by the previous paragraph and P avoids H/K. But V normalizes P, so  $[P, H]K = [P, V \cap H]K$  is contained in  $(P \cap H)K = K$  and P centralizes H/K. Therefore C contains P. By [2, Theorem 3.8], C/L has a normal Sylow p-subgroup S/L. Thus we obtain  $P/L \leq S/L \leq \rho(G/L)$ , and  $V/L \cap \rho(G/L)$  is nontrivial.

Let  $R/L = \rho(G/L)$ . Then  $V \cap R$  is pronormal in R, and since  $V \cap R$  contains L, the subgroup  $V \cap R$  is both pronormal and serial in R, so it is normal in R. But  $V \cap R$  is a maximal  $\mathfrak{X}$ -subgroup of R, so it is self-normalizing in R, and we obtain  $R \leq V$ . Now R is a normal  $\mathfrak{X}$ -subgroup of G, so it is contained in L, contradicting that  $\rho(G/L)$  is nontrivial. Thus G is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group as desired.

In the case of " $\mathfrak{X}$ -injectors", the previous paragraph does not work. However, for each  $r \in R$  the subgroup  $\langle r \rangle L/L$  is serial in  $R/L = \rho(G/L)$  so  $\langle r \rangle L$  is serial in G. But since L is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group, it is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group too, hence we find  $r \in V$  and Vcontains R. Thus R is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group, contradicting  $\mathfrak{X}n = L < R$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 1.3.** it Let G be a locally finite group, and  $\pi$  be a set of primes. We assume that any two  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -injectors of a subgroup H of G are conjugate in H. If  $G = S\rho(G)$  for a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup S of G, then the Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroups of G are conjugate and S is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -injector of G.

*Proof.* Let R be a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup of G, P be the Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $\rho(G)$  and Q be the Sylow  $\pi'$ -subgroup of  $\rho(G)$ . In particular  $S \cap R\rho(G)$  and R contain P, and we have  $R\rho(G) = (S \cap R\rho(G))\rho(G)$ .

We show that, if L is a  $\pi$ -subgroup of G containing P and if  $L\rho(G) = R\rho(G)$ , then L is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -injector of  $R\rho(G)$ . Let A be a serial subgroup of  $R\rho(G)$ . Then APis a serial subgroup of  $A\rho(G)$ . Thus, if  $A\rho(G)$  has a  $\pi$ -element  $x \notin AP$ , there exist U and V two subgroups of  $A\rho(G)$  containing AP such that  $V \trianglelefteq U$  and  $x \in U \setminus V$ . Since  $A\rho(G) = APQ$ , Q covers U/V and U/V has not a  $\pi$ -element, contradicting the choice of x. Therefore AP contains all the  $\pi$ -elements of  $A\rho(G)$  and we have  $L \cap A\rho(G) = L \cap AP = (L \cap A)P$ .

Let T be a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup of A containing  $L \cap A$ . We obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A &=& A \cap (L \cap A\rho(G))\rho(G) = A \cap (L \cap A)\rho(G) = (L \cap A)(A \cap \rho(G)) \\ T &=& (L \cap A)(T \cap A \cap \rho(G)) = (L \cap A)(T \cap \rho(G)) \leq (L \cap A)P \leq L. \end{array}$$

Thus  $L \cap A$  is a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup of A and L is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -injector of  $R\rho(G)$ .

By the paragraph above,  $S \cap R\rho(G)$  and R are two  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -injectors of  $R\rho(G)$ , so they are conjugate in  $R\rho(G)$ . Thus, there exists  $g \in R\rho(G)$  such that  $S^g$  contains R, hence  $R = S^g$  and  $G = R\rho(G)$ . This finishes the proof.  $\Box$  Notation 1.4. For each set  $\pi$  of primes, we denote by  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$  the set of  $\pi$ -subgroups of locally finite groups.

Let  $\mathfrak{V}sn$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{V}a$ ,  $\mathfrak{V}$ ) be the largest subgroup-closed class of locally finite subsoluble groups (resp.  $SN^*$ -groups, radical groups) G such that, for any set  $\pi$ of primes, G has a unique conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injectors (resp.  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -a-injectors,  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -injectors).

We recall that if a group G has a normal  $\mathfrak{U}$ -subgroup H such that G/H is finite and soluble, then G is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group too [3, Lemma 6.6].

**Lemma 1.5.** Let G be a  $\mathfrak{V}$ -sn-group (resp.  $\mathfrak{V}$ -a-group,  $\mathfrak{V}$ -group). If  $G/\rho(G)$  is a Baer(resp. Gruenberg, locally nilpotent) group, then G is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi$  be a set of primes, and V an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector (resp.  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -a-injector,  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -injector) of G. Since  $G/\rho(G)$  is locally nilpotent,  $G/\rho(G)$  has a unique Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup  $G_{\pi}/\rho(G)$ , and we have  $V \leq G_{\pi}$ .

By Lemma 1.3, we have just to prove that  $G_{\pi} = V\rho(G)$ . For each  $g \in G_{\pi}$ ,  $\rho(G)\langle g \rangle$  is a finite extension of  $\rho(G)$  and, by [3, Lemma 6.6],  $\rho(G)\langle g \rangle$  is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group. But  $G/\rho(G)$  is a Baer group (resp. an Gruenberg group, a locally nilpotent group), so  $\rho(G)\langle g \rangle$  is subnormal (resp. ascendant, serial) in G. Thus  $V \cap \rho(G)\langle g \rangle$  is a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $\rho(G)\langle g \rangle$ , and we obtain  $\rho(G)\langle g \rangle = (V \cap \rho(G)\langle g \rangle)\rho(G)$  [2, Lemma 2.1 (ii)]. In particular,  $V\rho(G)$  contains g and  $G_{\pi}$ , so  $G_{\pi} = V\rho(G)$ , as desired.

Fact 1.6. ([3, Theorem E] and [4, Lemma 1.1]) If G is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group, then  $G/\rho(G)$  is (2-step soluble)-by-finite. In particular  $G/\rho(G)$  is soluble. Moreover,  $G/\rho(G)$  is characteristically hyperfinite.

**Theorem 1.7.** The classes  $\mathfrak{V}sn$ ,  $\mathfrak{V}a$  and  $\mathfrak{V}$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

*Proof.* We give the proof just for  $\mathfrak{V}sn$ , since the same proof works for  $\mathfrak{V}a$  and  $\mathfrak{V}$ . Let G be a  $\mathfrak{V}sn$ -group. Let  $\alpha$  be the least ordinal such that, if we define  $B_0(G) = \rho(G)$ ,  $B_{i+1}(G)/B_i(G) = \beta(G/B_i(G))$  for each ordinal i and  $B_{\gamma}(G) = \bigcup_{i < \gamma} B_i(G)$  for limit ordinals  $\gamma$ , then  $G = B_{\alpha}(G)$ . We may assume that for each  $\mathfrak{V}sn$ -group H, if  $H = B_i(H)$  for  $i < \alpha$ , then H is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group. By Lemma 1.5, we may assume  $\alpha \geq 2$ .

(1)  $G/\beta(G)$  is hyperfinite. If  $\alpha > \omega$ , then  $B_{\omega}(G)$  is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group containing  $B_n(B_{\omega}(G)) = B_n(G)$  for each integer n, contradicting Fact 1.6. So we have  $\alpha \leq \omega$ . Suppose  $\alpha = \omega$ . Then  $B_i(G)$  is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $B_i(G)/\rho(G)$  is

characteristically hyperfinite for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  (Fact 1.6), so  $G/\rho(G)$  is hyperfinite.

Suppose  $\alpha < \omega$ . By the minimality of  $\alpha$ ,  $B_1(G)$  is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group. So  $B_1(G)$  has a Carter subgroup C [2, Theorem 5.4],  $B_1(G) = \rho(G)C$  and the Carter subgroups of  $B_1(G)$  are conjugate. Then we have  $N_G(C) \cap B_1(G) = C$  and a Frattini Argument gives  $G = \rho(G)N_G(C)$ . We obtain  $G/B_1(G) \simeq N_G(C)/C$ , and since C is locally nilpotent,  $N_G(C) = B_{\alpha-1}(N_G(C))$ . Therefore, by the minimality of  $\alpha$ ,  $N_G(C)$  is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group. Moreover, since  $G = \rho(G)N_G(C)$ , we have  $\rho(N_G(C)) \leq N_G(C) \cap B_1(G) = C$ , and  $G/B_1(G) \simeq N_G(C)/C$  is hyperfinite (Fact 1.6). As  $B_1(G)$  is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group,  $B_1(G)/\rho(G)$  is characteristically hyperfinite (Fact 1.6), hence  $G/\rho(G)$  is hyperfinite.

(2) G is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group. By (1), there exists an ordinal  $\gamma$  such that  $G/\rho(G)$  has a normal series  $(U_i/\rho(G))_{0 \le i \le \gamma}$  with  $U_{i+1}/U_i$  finite abelian for every  $i < \gamma$ ,  $U_0 = \rho(G)$  and  $U_{\gamma} = G$ . We may assume that G is not a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group. Then there exists a set  $\pi$  of primes such that Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroups of G are not conjugate. Since G is an  $\mathfrak{V}sn$ -group, G has a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup S such that S is not an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector.

### OLIVIER FRÉCON

Let  $\beta$  be the least ordinal such that there exists a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup R of  $RU_{\beta}$ which is not an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector of  $RU_{\beta}$ . By Lemma 1.3, we have  $\beta > 0$ .

Assume that  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal. Let A be a normal subgroup of  $RU_{\beta}$  and T be a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup of A containing  $R \cap A$ . By the minimality of  $\beta$ , R is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector of  $RU_i$  for every  $i < \beta$ , therefore  $R \cap A$  is a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $A \cap RU_i$ . We obtain  $R \cap A = T \cap RU_i$  for every  $i < \beta$ , so  $R \cap A = T \cap RU_{\beta} = T$ . Thus R is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector of  $RU_{\beta}$ , contradicting the choice of R.

Assume there is an ordinal  $\delta$  such that  $\beta = \delta + 1$ . Let  $C = C_{RU_{\beta}}(U_{\beta}/U_{\delta})$ . Then C is a normal subgroup of finite index of  $RU_{\beta}$ . Since  $U_{\beta}/U_{\delta}$  is abelian, we have  $U_{\beta} \leq C$  and  $C = (R \cap C)U_{\beta}$ . By the minimality of  $\beta$ , R is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector of  $RU_{\delta}$ , so  $R \cap C = R \cap (C \cap RU_{\delta})$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector of  $C \cap RU_{\delta}$ . Let  $M = N_{RU_{\beta}}(R \cap C)$ . Then  $R/(R \cap C)$  is a finite Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $M/(R \cap C)$ . Thus, since  $M/(R \cap C)$  is locally soluble, the Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $M/(R \cap C)$  are finite, and conjugate. As  $R \cap C$  is a  $\pi$ -subgroup, we obtain the conjugacy of the Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroups of M.

Let V be an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector of  $RU_{\beta}$ . As  $C \cap RU_{\delta}$  is normal in  $RU_{\beta}$ , the subgroup  $V \cap (C \cap RU_{\delta})$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector of  $C \cap RU_{\delta}$  and there is  $x \in C \cap RU_{\delta}$  such that  $(V \cap C \cap RU_{\delta})^x = R \cap C$ . Moreover V normalizes  $V \cap C \cap RU_{\delta}$  and we obtain  $V^x \leq M$ , so  $V^x$  is a Sylow  $\pi$ -subgroup of M. Now  $V^x$  and R are conjugate in M and R is an  $\mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$ -sn-injector of  $RU_{\beta}$ , contradicting the choice of R.

**Corollary 1.8.** Let G be a locally finite group. We assume that for  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathscr{S}$ , the set of subsoluble subgroups of G, and  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_{\pi}$  for any set  $\pi$  of primes, any subgroup H of G satisfies:

- *H* has exactly one conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -n-injectors;
- for every normal subgroup A of H and every X-n-injector V of H, the subgroup V ∩ A is an X-n-injector of A;
- any  $\mathfrak{X}$ -n-injector of H contained in  $K \leq H$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -n-injector of K,

Then G is a subsoluble  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group.

*Proof.* By our hypotheses, we may apply Proposition 1.2 with  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathscr{S}$ , so G is subsoluble. Now Theorem 1.7 says that G is a  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group.

Remark 1.9. By [1, Theorem 1.4, Proposition 1.14 and Corollary 1.15], for any subsoluble  $\mathfrak{U}$ -group G, the hypotheses of Corollary 1.8 are satisfied for any normal Fitting set  $\mathfrak{X}$  of G.

**Conclusion 1.10.** The largest subgroup-closed class of locally finite groups G such that, for any normal Fitting set  $\mathfrak{X}$  of G,

- G has exactly one conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -n-injectors;
- for every normal subgroup A of G and every X-n-injector V of G, the subgroup V ∩ A is an X-n-injector of G;
- any  $\mathfrak{X}$ -n-injector of H contained in  $K \leq H$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -n-injector of K,

is the class of subsoluble  $\mathfrak{U}$ -groups.

Equivalently:

**Conclusion 1.11.** The largest subgroup-closed class of locally finite groups G such that, for any normal Fitting set  $\mathfrak{X}$  of G,

- G has exactly one conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injectors;
- any  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injector of H contained in  $K \leq H$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -sn-injector of K,

is the class of subsoluble  $\mathfrak{U}$ -groups.

If we apply Proposition 1.2 with  $\mathfrak{X}$  the class of locally finite  $SN^*$ -groups, we obtain:

**Conclusion 1.12.** The largest subgroup-closed class of locally finite groups G such that, for any ascendant Fitting set  $\mathfrak{X}$  of G,

- G has exactly one conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -a-injectors;
- any  $\mathfrak{X}$ -a-injector of H contained in  $K \leq H$  is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -a-injector of K, is the class  $SN^* \cap \mathfrak{U}$ .

Now if we apply Proposition 1.2 with  $\mathfrak{X}$  the class of radical locally finite groups, we obtain:

**Conclusion 1.13.** The largest subgroup-closed class of locally finite groups G such that, for any serial Fitting set  $\mathfrak{X}$  of G,

• G has exactly one conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{X}$ -injectors,

is the class of  $\mathfrak{U}\text{-}groups.$ 

### References

- [1] Olivier Frécon. Fitting sets in *U*-groups. Preprint.
- [2] A. D. Gardiner, B. Hartley, and M. J. Tomkinson. Saturated formations and Sylow structure in locally finite groups. J. Algebra, 17:177–211, 1971.
- [3] B. Hartley. Sylow subgroups of locally finite groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 23:159–192, 1971.
- [4] B. Hartley and M. J. Tomkinson. Carter subgroups and injectors in a class of locally finite groups. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 79:203–212, 1988.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS,, UMR 7348 DU CNRS,, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS,, TÉLÉPORT 2 - BP 30179,, BD MARIE ET PIERRE CURIE,, 86962 FUTUROSCOPE CHASSENEUIL CEDEX,, FRANCE

E-mail address: olivier.frecon@math.univ-poitiers.fr